Courant Institute of
Mathematical Sciences
Magneto-Fluid Dynamics Division

Stability of Dissipative Systems E. M. Barston

AEC Research and Development Report Physics July 15, 1968

New York University

New York University Carent Institute of Mathematical Care Magneto-Fluid Dynamics Highelm

MF-57

NYO-1.

STABILITY OF DISSIPATIVE SYSTEMS

E. M. Barston

15 July 1968

Submitted for publication to Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis.

U.C. Afomic Energy Commission Contract No. AT(30-1)-14-0

UNCLASSIFIED

Abstract

The stability of a class of "smooth" solutions $\xi(t)$ to an equation of the form $P\xi+K\xi+H\xi(t)=0$ is discussed in terms of $\|\xi(t)\|$. P, K, and H are time-independent linear formally self-adjoint operators defined in an inner-product space, and $P \geq 0$, $K \geq 0$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for exponential stability are given in terms of an energy principle, and the maximal growth rate Ω of an unstable system is shown to be the supremum of a certain functional over the class of "negative energy" states. Sufficient conditions for the attainment of Ω (i.e., that Ω lie in the point spectrum) are given.



Table of Contents

Abstract	Page
I. Introduction	1
II. Stability Theorems	4
References	19

I. Introduction

The equations of small oscillations about a state of equilibrium of a system subject to dissipative as well as conservative forces often assumes the form $^{1-5,7}$

$$P\dot{\xi} + K\dot{\xi} + H\dot{\xi}(t) = 0$$
, $t \ge 0$ (1)

where P, K, and H are time-independent linear formally self-adjoint operators in an inner product space E, with $P \geq 0$ and $K \geq 0$. The operator K represents the dissipative forces, H the conservative forces. The linear stability of such equilibria is determined by the boundedness of the solutions of Eq. (1) for arbitrary allowed initial conditions; the equilibrium is said to be stable if all the solutions of Eq. (1) are bounded independently of t, and unstable otherwise.

Kelvin and Tait proposed a simple necessary and sufficient condition for exponential stability for real operators $P \geq 0$, K, and H on a finite-dimensional Euclidean space E. The system described by Eq. (1) is exponentially stable if and only if the system in the absence of dissipative forces (i.e., Eq. (1) with $K \equiv 0$) is exponentially stable, or in other words, every solution $\xi(t)$ of Eq. (1) satisfies $\|\xi(t)\| \leq Me^{\epsilon t}$, $t \geq 0$, for every $\epsilon \geq 0$ and some

constant $M(\mathcal{E})$ if and only if $\inf_{E} \frac{(\zeta, H\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} \geq 0$. (Kelvin and Tait did not prove their assertion; a proof using the methods of Liapunov can be found in Ref. 3). Exponential stability of the system for $H \geq 0$ is a simple consequence of the fact that the energy of the system, given by $(\xi, P\xi) + (\xi, H\xi)$, is a nonincreasing function of t for $K \geq 0$ (see Theorem I of Sec. II).

Exponential instability for $\inf_E \frac{(\zeta, H\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} < 0$ can be guaranteed under far more general conditions. Indeed, the following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem V of Ref. 6.

Theorem: Let P, K, and H be linear Hermitian operators on and into the Hilbert space E, K and H be completely continuous, P > 0 and invertible (i.e., $\inf_E \frac{(\zeta,P\zeta)}{(\zeta,\zeta)} > 0$). Let $\inf_E \frac{(\zeta,H\zeta)}{(\zeta,\zeta)} < 0$. Then H has n negative eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n < 0$ where $n \geq 1$, and there exists n positive real numbers $\omega_1 \geq \omega_2 \geq \cdots \geq \omega_n > 0$ and nonzero vectors ζ_1 , ζ_2 , ..., $\zeta_n \in E$ such that $\xi_\ell(t) \equiv e^{-t} \zeta_\ell$ satisfies Eq. (1) for $\ell = 1,2,\ldots,n$ and $(\zeta_k,\zeta_\ell) = 0$ if $\omega_k = \omega_\ell$.

We consider a much larger class of problems in Sec. II. There it is assumed that P, K, and H are merely formally self-adjoint operators on their domains of definition D_P , D_K , and D_H , which are subsets of some inner product space E, and that $P \geq 0$, $K \geq 0$, and H is bounded below. (We say that an operator L is formally self-adjoint if $(\eta, L\zeta) = (L\eta, \zeta)$

for all $\eta, \zeta \in D_L$.) Stability is discussed in terms of the norm of solutions of Eq. (1) belonging to a certain "smooth" class S_o . No spectral analysis is made; we operate directly with the time-dependent equation. The basic idea involved is very simple, if we assume for the moment that everything is sufficiently "nice", as it is if E is finite-dimensional. If $\frac{(\zeta, H\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} \geq 0$, it is easily shown that all the "smooth" solutions of Eq. (1) are exponentially bounded in norm. If $\frac{(\zeta, H\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} < 0$, it is not difficult to show that Eq. (1) admits E of a solution $\xi(t)$ satisfying $\|\xi(t)\| \geq \delta > 0$ for some positive δ . Then we merely observe that $\zeta(t) = e^{-\omega t}\xi(t)$ satisfies

$$P\zeta + K_{\omega}\zeta + H_{\omega}\zeta(t) = 0 , \quad t \ge 0 , \qquad (2)$$

if and only if $\xi(t)$ satisfies Eq. (1), where $K_{\omega} \equiv 2\omega P + K \geq 0$ for $\omega \geq 0$, $H_{\omega} \equiv \omega^2 P + \omega K + H$ and K_{ω} are both formally self-adjoint, so that Eq. (2) is of the same type as Eq. (1). Then for every positive ω for which $\inf \frac{(\zeta, H_{\omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} < 0$, there is a $\zeta(t)$ satisfying Eq. (2) such that $\|\zeta(t)\| \geq \delta > 0$ for $t \geq 0$. Hence $\xi(t) = e^{\omega t}\zeta(t)$ satisfies Eq. (1), and

$$\|\xi(t)\| \geq \delta e^{\omega t}$$
, $t \geq 0$. (3)

The maximal growth rate Ω of the system is then obtained as the supremum of the set of all ω 's for which

inf $\frac{(\zeta,H_{\omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta,\zeta)}$ < 0. This is the essence of the program carried out in Sec. II. In order to facilitate the computation of Ω , we show that it can also be characterized as the supremum of the functional Ω_{η} (defined in Sec. II) over the set of vectors η for which $(\eta,H\eta)$ < 0. Applications to specific problems will be considered in another paper.

II. Stability Theorems

Let E be a linear inner product space with inner product (,) and P, K, and H linear formally self adjoint operators (independent of the parameter t) with domains D_P , D_K , and D_H in E. For $-\infty < \omega < \infty$ we define $K_\omega \equiv 2\omega P + K$, $H_\omega \equiv \omega^2 P + \omega K + H$, and the set S_ω is the set of all vector functions $\xi(t)$ of the parameter t defined for all $t \geq 0$ satisfying the following nine conditions:

1.
$$\xi(t) \varepsilon D_{P} \cap D_{K_{\omega}} \cap D_{H_{\omega}} = D_{P} \cap D_{K} \cap D_{H}$$
, $t \ge 0$ (4)

2.
$$\dot{\xi}(t) \in \mathbb{D}_{P} \cap \mathbb{D}_{K_{(1)}} (= \mathbb{D}_{P} \cap \mathbb{D}_{K})$$
, $t \geq 0$ (5)

3.
$$\xi(t) \in D_P$$
, $t \ge 0$ (6)

4.
$$P\xi + K_{\omega}\xi + H_{\omega}\xi(t) = 0$$
, $t \ge 0$ (7)

5.
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(\dot{\xi}, P\dot{\xi}) = (\dot{\xi}, P\dot{\xi}) + (\dot{\xi}, P\dot{\xi}) \quad t \geq 0$$
 (8)

6.
$$\frac{d}{dt} (\dot{\xi}, P\xi) = (\dot{\xi}, P\xi) + (\dot{\xi}, P\dot{\xi}) \qquad t \ge 0$$
 (9)

_ = _

7.
$$\frac{d}{dt}(\xi, P\xi) = (\dot{\xi}, P\xi) + (\xi, P\dot{\xi})$$
 $t \ge 0$ (10)

8.
$$\frac{d}{dt}(\xi, K_{\omega}\xi) = (\dot{\xi}, K_{\omega}\xi) + (\xi, K_{\omega}\dot{\xi})$$
 $t \ge 0$ (11)

9.
$$\frac{d}{dt}(\xi, H_{\omega}\xi) = (\dot{\xi}, H_{\omega}\xi) + (H_{\omega}\xi, \dot{\xi})$$
 $t \ge 0$ (12)

Note: The precise definition of the t-derivative $\dot{\xi}$ is not important in the sequel, provided that the usual rules for differentiating sums and products (of scalars and vectors) are valid. Thus one can think of $\dot{\xi}$ as being defined in the norm topology of E, or, if E is an n-fold Cartesian product of L_2 -spaces (as is often the case in physical applications), $\dot{\xi}$ can be taken to be the n-vector obtained by computing the partial derivative with respect to t of each of the n components of $\xi(t)$.

It is clear that S_{ω} is homogeneous (i.e., $\xi(t) \in S_{\omega}$ implies $\alpha \xi(t) \in S_{\omega}$ for all real numbers α) and translation invariant (i.e., $\xi(t) \in S_{\omega}$ implies $\xi(t+T) \in S_{\omega}$ for each fixed T > 0). We also have

Lemma I: Let $\omega \varepsilon (-\infty, \infty)$. Then $S_{\omega} = e^{-\omega t} S_{0}$, i.e., $\zeta(t) \varepsilon S_{\omega}$ if and only if $\zeta(t) = e^{-\omega t} \xi(t)$ for some $\xi(t) \varepsilon S_{0}$.

Proof: The lemma follows directly from the formulas

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left[\mathrm{e}^{\omega t} \xi(t) \right] = \mathrm{e}^{\omega t} \left[\dot{\xi} + \omega \xi \right] \text{ and } \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2} \left[\mathrm{e}^{\omega t} \xi(t) \right] = \mathrm{e}^{\omega t} \left[\dot{\xi} + 2\omega \dot{\xi} + \omega^2 \xi \right].$$

The stability theorems to follow will refer to solutions of Eq. (1) in the class S_0 , which may, in virtue of the defining Eqs. (4)-(12) be thought of as the class of "suitably smooth" solutions of Eq. (1). Eqs. (5)-(12) are merely the usual rules for differentiating inner products; Eqs. (4) and (5) offer no restriction on the solutions of Eq. (1) provided $D_P \supset D_K \supset D_H$, but become additional "smoothness" requirements should the above set relation not hold.

We now introduce a number of definitions. Let $D \equiv D_p \cap D_K \cap D_H$. The set $\{\eta | \eta = \xi(0), \xi(t) \in S_{(i)}\}$, defined for each fixed real ω , is independent of ω by Lemma I. Denote this set by Y. Y is homogeneous, and for each $\xi(t) \in S_{ab}$, $\xi(T) \in Y$ for every $T \geq 0$. We shall use the letter Q to denote any homogeneous subset of Y. The set $\{\eta \mid \eta = \xi(T), T \geq 0, \xi(t) \in S_0$ and $\xi(0) \in Q\}$, defined for each fixed real ω, is independent of ω by the homogeneity of Q and Lemma I. Denote this set by Q*. Then Q* is homogeneous and Y \supset Q* \supset Q. For any S \subset D we define $F_S(\omega) \equiv \inf_S \frac{(\zeta, H_\omega \zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)}$ for $\omega \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Let Z denote the set of all ordered pairs $\langle \xi(0), \dot{\xi}(0) \rangle$ for $\xi(t) \in S_0$. We define B to be the class of all homogeneous subsets Q of Y with the property that for every $\eta \in Q$, and each real α , there exists ϕ_{α} such that $\langle \eta, \phi_{\alpha} \rangle \epsilon$ Z and $\phi_{\alpha} - \alpha \eta \epsilon N$, where N is the nullspace of P. If $Q \in B$, we say that Q is basic.

Lemma II: A) Let $\xi(t) \epsilon S_{(t)}$ for some real ω . Then

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left\{ (\xi, P\xi) + (\xi, H_{\omega}\xi) \right\} = -2(\xi, K_{\omega}\xi) , \quad t \ge 0$$
 (13)

$$F_{Q*}(\omega) \|\xi(t)\|^2 \le (\dot{\xi}_{O}, P\dot{\xi}_{O}) + (\xi_{O}, H_{\omega}\xi_{O}), \quad t \ge 0$$
 (14)

B) Let Q be basic, $F_Q(\omega) < 0$, $F_{Q^*}(\omega) > -\infty$, $P \ge 0$ and $K_\omega \ge 0$ on $D_P \cap D_K$. Then there exists $\zeta(t) \in S_0$ and a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\dot{\zeta}(0) - \omega \zeta(0) \in N$ and $||\zeta(t)|| \ge \delta e^{\omega t}$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof: Eq. (13) follows at once from Eqs. (7), (8), and (12). Eq. (13) and $K_\omega \ge 0$ imply that $E(t) \equiv (\dot{\xi}, P\dot{\xi}) + (\dot{\xi}, H_\omega \dot{\xi})$ is a nonincreasing function of t for $t \ge 0$, so that

$$(\xi, H_0, \xi) \leq E(0) - (\xi, P\xi) \leq E(0), \quad t \geq 0$$
 (15)

for $P \ge 0$. Suppose $\xi(0) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then by the definition of \mathbb{Q}^* , we have, for each $\xi = \xi(T)$ with $\|\xi\| > 0$,

$$F_{Q^*}(\omega) = \inf_{Q^*} \frac{(\zeta, H_{\omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} \le \frac{(\xi, H_{\omega}\xi)}{(\xi, \xi)} \le \frac{E(0)}{\|\xi\|^2}$$
 (16)

Note that E(0) < 0 implies $\|\xi(t)\| > 0$ for all $t \ge 0$ by Eq. (15), so that Eq. (16) yields Eq. (14). Now suppose

QEB, $F_Q(\omega) < 0$, $P \ge 0$ and $K \ge 0$ on $D_P \cap D_K$. Since $Q \subset Q^*$, $F_{Q^*}(\omega) \le F_Q(\omega) < 0$. Now $F_Q(\omega) < 0$ implies the existence of an $\eta \in Q$ for which $(\eta, H_{\omega} \eta) < 0$. Since Q is basic, there exists $\zeta(t) \in S_0$ such that $\zeta(0) = \eta$, $\dot{\zeta}(0) - \omega \eta \in N$. Then $\dot{\xi}(t) \equiv e^{-\omega t} \zeta(t) \in S_{\omega}$, $\dot{\xi}(0) = \dot{\zeta}(0) - \omega \zeta(0) \in N$, so that Eq. (14) yields

$$\|\zeta(t)\| = \|\xi(t)\|e^{\omega t} \ge \delta e^{\omega t}$$
, $t \ge 0$

where $\delta = \{(\eta, H_{\omega}\eta)/F_{Q^*}(\omega)\}^{\frac{1}{2}} > 0.$

This completes the proof of Lemma II.

We shall assume throughout the remainder of this section that K \geq O and P \geq O on $D_{\rm P} {\mbox{\it D}}_{\rm K}.$

Let $S \subset D$. We introduce the following definitions:

$$V_{S} \equiv \{\omega | F_{S}(\omega) < 0 , -\infty < \omega < \infty\}$$

$$\Omega(S) \equiv \begin{cases} -\infty & V_{S} & \text{empty} \\ \sup \omega & V_{S} & \text{nonempty} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathfrak{F} \equiv \{ \eta | \eta \in S, (\eta, H\eta) < 0 \}$$

For each $\eta \in \widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$, we define the positive functional

$$\Omega_{\eta} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left[\left[\frac{(\eta, K\eta)^{2}}{(\eta, P\eta)^{2}} - 4 \frac{(\eta, H\eta)}{(\eta, P\eta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{(\eta, K\eta)}{(\eta, P\eta)} \right] & (\eta, P\eta) > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Omega_{\eta} = \begin{cases} -\frac{(\eta, H\eta)}{(\eta, K\eta)} & (\eta, P\eta) = 0, (\eta, K\eta) > 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(\eta, P\eta) = 0, (\eta, K\eta)$$

$$(\eta, P\eta) = 0 = (\eta, K\eta)$$

The next lemma shows that $\Omega(S)$ is positive and equals the supremum of the functional Ω_η over \widetilde{S} , provided that $F_S(0) < 0$ and that $P \ge 0$ and $K \ge 0$ on S.

Lemma III: A) Let $S \subset D$, $K \geq 0$ and $P \geq 0$ on S. Then $F_S(\omega)$ is a nondecreasing function of ω on $[0,\infty)$. If in addition, H is bounded below on S and $\inf_S \frac{(\eta, [K+\alpha P]\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} > 0$ for all $\alpha > 0$, then $F_S(\omega)$ is strictly increasing on $[0,\infty)$. B) Let $S \subset D$, $K \geq 0$ and $P \geq 0$ on S, and $F_S(0) < 0$. Then S is nonempty, for each $\eta \in S$ we have $F_S(\omega) < 0$ for all $\omega \in [0,\Omega_\eta)$, and $\Omega(S) = \sup_{\eta \in S} \Omega_\eta > 0$. (Thus $K \geq 0$ and $P \geq 0$ on S and $\Omega(S) \leq 0$ imply $F_S(0) \geq 0$.)

C) Let $S \subset D$, $\Omega(S) > 0$, and $F_S(\omega)$ be strictly increasing on $[0,\infty)$. Then $F_S(\omega) > 0$ for $\omega > \Omega(S)$ and $F_S(\omega) < 0$ for $0 \le \omega < \Omega(S)$.

<u>Proof</u>: A) Let $\omega \varepsilon [0, \infty)$ and $\in > 0$. Then

$$F_{S}(\omega+\epsilon) = \inf_{S} \frac{(\eta, H_{\omega}+\epsilon\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} = \inf_{S} \left\{ \frac{(\eta, H_{\omega}\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} + \in \frac{(\eta, [K+(2\omega+\epsilon)P]\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} \right\}$$

$$\geq F_{S}(\omega) + \in \inf_{S} \frac{(\eta, [K + (2\omega + \epsilon)P]\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)}$$
 (17)

which proves A). Note that $P \ge 0$ and $K \ge 0$ on S and $F_S(0) = \inf_S \frac{(\eta, H\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} > -\infty \text{ imply } F_S(\omega) > -\infty \text{ for } \omega \ge 0.$

B) $F_S(0) < 0$ means \tilde{S} is nonempty. For each $\eta \epsilon \tilde{S}$, we have

$$F_S(\omega) = \inf_S \frac{(\zeta, H_{\omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} \leq G_{\eta}(\omega)$$

where

$$G_{\eta}(\omega) = \frac{(\eta, H_{\omega}\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} = \|\eta\|^{-2} \{(\eta, H\eta) + \omega(\eta, K\eta) + \omega^{2}(\eta, P\eta)\}$$

If $\Omega_{\eta}=\infty$, then $G_{\eta}(\omega)<0$ for all $\omega\epsilon[0,\infty)$. If $\Omega_{\eta}<\infty$, then $G_{\eta}(\omega)$ is a strictly increasing function of ω for $\omega\epsilon[0,\infty)$, and $G_{\eta}(\Omega_{\eta})=0$. Thus, in any case, $F_{S}(\omega)\leq G_{\eta}(\omega)<0$ for $\omega\epsilon[0,\Omega_{\eta})$. This implies $\Omega_{\eta}\leq\Omega(S)$ for every $\eta\epsilon\tilde{S}$, so that $\sup_{\eta\in\tilde{S}}\Omega_{\eta}\leq\Omega(S)$. We now show that $\Omega(S)\leq\sup_{\eta\in\tilde{S}}\Omega_{\eta}$. Let $\eta\epsilon\tilde{S}$ 0 < $\omega<\Omega(S)$. Then $F_{S}(\omega)<0$, for $F_{S}(\omega)$ is nondecreasing on $[0,\infty)$ by Lemma III A), so that $F_{S}(\omega)\geq0$ would imply $F_{S}(\lambda)\geq F_{S}(\omega)\geq0$ for all $\lambda\geq\omega$, which contradicts the definition of $\Omega(S)$. $F_{S}(\omega)<0$ means that there exists $\eta\epsilon\tilde{S}$ such that $G_{\eta}(\omega)<0$. Now $G_{\eta}(\lambda)\geq0$ for $\lambda\geq\Omega_{\eta}$, and therefore $\omega<\Omega_{\eta}$. Hence $\omega<\sup_{\eta\in\tilde{S}}\Omega_{\eta}$ for all $\omega\epsilon(0,\Omega[S])$,

which implies $\Omega(S) \leq \sup_{\eta \in \widetilde{S}} \Omega_{\eta}$. This proves B).

C). Let $\omega = \Omega(S) + \in$, \in > 0. The definition of $\Omega(S)$ implies that $F_S(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \geq \Omega(S)$. Suppose $F_S(\omega) = 0$. Then since $F_S(\omega)$ is strictly increasing, $F_S(\omega - \frac{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{2}) < 0$, which is a contradiction. Now suppose $0 \leq \omega < \Omega(S)$. Then $F_S(\omega) < 0$, for $F_S(\omega) \geq 0$ and F_S nondecreasing would imply $F_S(\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\lambda \geq \omega$, which contradicts the definition of $\Omega(S)$.

Theorem I: Let $P \ge 0$ and $K \ge 0$ on $D_P \cap D_K$.

A) If $F_D(0) > 0$, then for every $\xi(t) \epsilon S_0$ we have

$$\|\xi(t)\| \leq \left\{ \frac{(\dot{\xi}_{0}, P\dot{\xi}_{0}) + (\xi_{0}, H\xi_{0})}{F_{D}(0)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad t \geq 0$$
 (18)

B) If $F_D(0) = 0$ and $\Delta \equiv \inf_{D_P \cap D_K} \frac{(\zeta, P\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} > 0$, then for every $\xi(t) \epsilon S_0$ for which $\frac{d}{dt} \|\xi\|^2 = (\dot{\xi}, \xi) + (\xi, \dot{\xi})$ ($t \ge 0$) we have

$$\|\xi(t)\| \leq \left\{ \frac{(\dot{\xi}_{0}, P\dot{\xi}_{0}) + (\xi_{0}, H\xi_{0})}{\Delta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} t + \|\xi_{0}\|, \quad t \geq 0$$
 (19)

C) If $F_D(0) = 0$ and $F_D(\omega) > 0$ for $\omega > 0$, then for every $\xi(t) \epsilon S_0$ and every positive ϵ we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\| \leq \left\{ \frac{(\dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{0}, P\dot{\boldsymbol{\zeta}}_{0}) + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0}, H_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{0})}{F_{D}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}t} , \quad t \geq 0 . \quad (20)$$

where $\dot{\zeta}_0 = \dot{\xi}_0 - \xi_0$.

<u>Proof:</u> A) For any Q, Q \subset Q* \subset D, so that 0 < $F_D(0) <math>\leq$ $F_{Q*}(0)$, and Eq. (18) follows at once from Eq. (14) of Lemma II.

B) Let $\xi(t) \in S_0$. $F_D(0) = 0$ implies $(\xi, H\xi) \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$, and Eq. (15) of Lemma II gives

$$\Delta \|\dot{\xi}\|^2 \le (\dot{\xi}, P\dot{\xi}) + (\xi, H\xi) \le E_0, \quad t \ge 0$$
 (21)

so that $\|\dot{\xi}(t)\| \leq (E_0/\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for all $t \geq 0$. Now $2\|\xi\| \frac{d\|\xi\|}{dt} = \frac{d\|\xi\|^2}{dt}$ = $(\dot{\xi}, \xi) + (\xi, \dot{\xi}) \leq 2\|\dot{\xi}\|\|\xi\|$ for $\|\xi\| > 0$, so that $\frac{d\|\xi\|}{dt} \leq \|\dot{\xi}\| \leq (E_0/\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\|\xi(t)\| > 0$. It follows easily from the mean value theorem that

$$\|\xi(t)\| \le (E_{O}/\Delta)^{\frac{1}{2}}t + \|\xi_{O}\|$$
, $t \ge 0$

which is just Eq. (19).

C) Clearly $F_D(\epsilon) > 0$. Let $\xi(t) \epsilon S_0$. Then $\zeta(t) \equiv e^{-\epsilon t} \xi(t) \epsilon S_{\epsilon}$, and Eq. (14) of Lemma II gives

$$\|\xi(\mathsf{t})\| = \mathrm{e}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\mathsf{t}} \|\zeta(\mathsf{t})\| \le \mathrm{e}^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\mathsf{t}} \left\{ \frac{(\dot{\zeta}_0, P\dot{\zeta}_0) + (\zeta_0, H_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\dot{\zeta}_0)}{F_D(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

which is Eq. (20).

Theorem II: A) Let $K \geq 0$ and $P \geq 0$ on $D_P \cap D_K$, Q be basic, $F_Q(0) < 0, \text{ and } F_D(0) > -\infty. \text{ Then } \Omega(Q) > 0, \text{ and for every}$ $\omega \varepsilon [0, \Omega(Q)) \text{ there exists } \zeta(t) \varepsilon S_0 \text{ and a constant } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } \zeta(0) - \omega \zeta(0) \varepsilon \text{ N and } \|\zeta(t)\| \geq \delta e^{\omega t} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$

B) Let K \geq 0 and P \geq 0 on $D_P \cap D_K$, $F_{Q^*}(0) < 0$, and $F_{Q^*}(\omega)$ be strictly increasing for $\omega > \Omega(Q^*)$. Then for every $\zeta(t) \epsilon S_0$ with $\zeta(0) \epsilon Q$ and each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ such that $\|\zeta(t)\| \leq \rho e^{\left[\Omega(Q^*) + \epsilon\right]t}$, $t \geq 0$.

<u>Proof:</u> A) $\Omega(Q) > 0$ by Lemma III-B. $F_Q(\omega)$ is nondecreasing on $[0,\infty)$ by Lemma III-A, so that $F_Q(\omega) < 0$ for $0 \le \omega < \Omega(Q)$. $F_D(0) > -\infty$ implies $F_{Q*}(\omega) > -\infty$ for $\omega \ge 0$, and the result now follows at once from Lemma II-B.

B) $\Omega(Q^*) > 0$ by Lemma III-B. For $\epsilon > 0$, $F_{Q^*}[\Omega(Q^*) + \epsilon] > 0$ since $F_{Q^*}(\omega)$ is strictly increasing on $(\Omega(Q^*), \infty)$. Let $\zeta(t) \epsilon S_0$ and $\zeta(0) \epsilon Q$. Then $\xi(t) \equiv e^{-\left[\Omega(Q^*) + \epsilon\right]t} \zeta(t) \epsilon S_{\Omega + \epsilon}$, and Eq. (14) of Lemma II yields

$$\|\zeta(t)\| = \|\xi(t)\|e^{[\Omega+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]t} \le \rho e^{[\Omega+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}]t}$$
, $t \ge 0$

where

$$\rho^2 \equiv \frac{(\dot{\xi}_0, P\dot{\xi}_0) + (\xi_0, H_{\Omega + \epsilon} \xi_0)}{F_{Q *}(\Omega + \epsilon)} > 0.$$

Theorem III: Let $-\infty < F_D(0) < 0$ and $\inf_{D_P \cap D_K} \frac{(\eta, [K+\alpha P]\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} > 0$ for $\alpha > 0$. Suppose there is a basic Q for which $\Omega(Q) = \Omega(D)$. Then the system described by Eq. (1) is exponentially unstable with maximal growth rate $\Omega(D)$, i.e., for each $\omega \epsilon [0, \Omega(D))$ there exists $\zeta(t) \epsilon S_O$ and a constant $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\zeta(t)\| \geq \delta$ $e^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$, and for every $\xi(t) \epsilon S_O$ and

every \in > 0 there exists a constant ρ > 0 such that $\|\xi(t)\| \le \rho \ e^{\left[\Omega(D) + \epsilon\right]t}$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof: Note that $D \subset D_P \cap D_K$ and that $\inf_{D_P \cap D_K} \frac{(\eta, [K+\alpha P]\eta)}{(\eta, \eta)} > 0$ for $\alpha > 0$ implies that $K \geq 0$ and $P \geq 0$ on $D_P \cap D_K$. $\Omega(D) > 0$ by Lemma III-B; $F_D(\omega)$, $F_Y(\omega)$ and $F_Q(\omega)$ are strictly increasing on $[0,\infty)$ by Lemma III-A. Since $D \supset Y \supset Q$, $F_D(\omega) \leq F_Y(\omega) \leq F_Q(\omega)$ for all real ω , and therefore $\Omega(D) = \Omega(Q)$ implies $\Omega(D) = \Omega(Y)$. The theorem is now an immediate consequence of Theorem II (substitute Y for Q in Theorem II-B, and note that $Y^* = Y$).

Theorem IV: Let P, H, and K be (bounded) Hermitian operators on and into the Hilbert space E, with K \geq O and inf $\frac{(\zeta,P\zeta)}{(\zeta,\zeta)} > 0$ Then $Z = E \times E$, and for

- A) $F_E(0) > 0$, Eq. (18) holds for every $\xi(t) \varepsilon S_0$;
- B) $F_E(0) = 0$, Eq. (19) holds for every $\xi(t) \varepsilon S_0$;
- C) $F_E(0)$ < 0, the set of solutions S_0 of Eq. (1) is unstable with maximal growth rate $\Omega(E)$.

(Note: The t-derivative $\dot{\xi}(t) = \frac{d\xi(t)}{dt}$ is to be understood as being defined in the norm topology).

<u>Proof:</u> We have $D = D_P = D_H = D_K = E$. If $\eta(t)$ and $\xi(t) \epsilon E$ for $t \geq 0$ are differentiable (in the norm topology), then for any bounded operator L on E we have $\frac{d}{dt}(\xi, L\eta) = (\dot{\xi}, L\eta) + (\xi, L\dot{\eta})$. Thus Eqs. (8) - (12) hold for every $\xi(t)$ which is twice differentiable for $t \geq 0$, and we also have $\frac{d}{dt}(\xi, \xi) = (\dot{\xi}, \xi) + (\xi, \dot{\xi})$.

Statements A) and B) follow from Theorem I-A) and B).

Let
$$\zeta_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{10} \\ \zeta_{20} \end{pmatrix} \epsilon \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}$$
 and define $\zeta(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1(t) \\ \zeta_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = e^{\mathbf{A}t} \zeta_0$

for t \geq 0, where the bounded linear operator A on $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{E}$ is

given by
$$A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -P^{-1}H & -P^{-1}K \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then $\zeta(t)$ is differentiable

(infinitely often) in the norm topology of $E \times E$ and satisfies

$$\dot{\zeta}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\zeta}_1(t) \\ \dot{\zeta}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = A\zeta(t) \quad \text{for } t \ge 0.$$

Therefore $\zeta_1(t)$ satisfies Eq. (1) for $t \geq 0$, and since $\zeta(0) = \zeta_0$, $\zeta_1(0) = \zeta_{10}$ and $\dot{\zeta}_1(0) = \zeta_{20}$. But ζ_0 is an arbitrary element of $E \times E$ and $\zeta_1(t) \in S_0$, so that $Z = E \times E$. Statement (C) follows at once from Theorem III by taking E as the basic Q.

Corollary: Let P, K, and H be linear Hermitian operators on and into the finite-dimensional Euclidean space E, with P > 0and K > O. Then the system described by Eq. (1) is exponentially unstable if and only if $F_{\rm E}({
m O})$ < O, and the maximum growth rate of the system is given by $\Omega(E)$. (The following theorem shows that $\Omega(E)$ is actually attained.) The system is stable if $F_F(0) > 0$.

In a finite-dimensional E differentiability in the norm topology and component-wise differentiability are equivalent, as are norm stability and component-wise stability. Furthermore, uniqueness of solutions is well-known.

The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for the attainment of the maximal growth rate.

Theorem V: Let P, K, and H be (bounded) Hermitian operators on and into the Hilbert space E, having the following properties:

1)
$$\inf_{E} \frac{(\zeta, [K+\alpha P]\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} > 0 \text{ for } \alpha > 0$$

2) $H_{\omega} = P_{\omega} - C_{\omega}$ for each $\omega > 0$, where P_{ω} and C_{ω} are Hermitian operators on and into E, $\inf_{E} \frac{(\zeta, P_{\omega} \zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} > 0$, and C_{ω} is completely continuous.

Then if $F_E(0) < 0$, there exists $\eta \in E$ with $\|\eta\| > 0$ such that $\xi(t) \equiv e^{\Omega(E)t} \eta$ for $t \geq 0$ satisfies Eq. (1).

<u>Proof</u>: It follows easily from the definition of $F_E(\omega)$ and the boundedness of P, K, and H that $F_E(\omega)$ is a continuous function of ω on $[0,\infty)$, and we have $F_E(\omega) \longrightarrow \infty$ as $\omega \longrightarrow \infty$. Then we conclude from Lemma III that $\Omega(E)$ is the unique root of $F_E(\omega)$ in $[0,\infty)$. Therefore

$$0 = F_{E}(\Omega) = \inf_{E} \frac{(\zeta, H_{\Omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} = \inf_{E} \left\{ \frac{(\zeta, P_{\Omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} \left[1 - \frac{(\zeta, C_{\Omega}\zeta)}{(\zeta, P_{\Omega}\zeta)} \right] \right\}$$
(22)

which holds if and only if l = $\sup_E \frac{(\zeta, c_\Omega \zeta)}{(\zeta, P_\Omega \zeta)}$, since

 $\inf_E \frac{(\zeta, P_\Omega \zeta)}{(\zeta, \zeta)} > 0. \quad \text{It follows from well-known theorems on} \\ \text{completely continuous Hermitian operators that there exists} \\ \eta \epsilon E, \ \| \eta \| > 0, \ \text{such that} \ P_\Omega \eta = C_\Omega \eta, \ \text{i.e.,} \ H_\Omega \eta = 0. \quad \text{This is} \\ \text{clearly the desired } \eta.$

Asknowledgement

The author would like to thank

Professors H. Weitzner and H. Grad for reading
the manuscript. The work presented here was
supported by the Magneto-Fluid Dynamics Division,
Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
New York University, under contract

AT(30-1)-1480 with the U. S. Atomic Energy
Commission.

References

- 1. J.W. Strutt (Lord Rayleigh), <u>Theory of Sound</u> (Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1945) 2nd edition, Chaps. 4,5.
- 2. W. Thompson (Lord Kelvin) and P.G. Tait, <u>Treatise on Natural Philosophy</u>, Part I (Cambridge University Press, London, 1903) Secs. 339-345.
- 3. N.G. Chetaev, <u>The Stability of Motion</u> (Pergamon Press, London, 1961) Ch. 5.
- 4. S. Chandrasekhar, <u>Hydrodynamic</u> and <u>Hydromagnetic</u> <u>Stability</u> (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1961) Ch. 10.
- 5. P. Lancaster, <u>Lambda-Matrices</u> and <u>Vibrating Systems</u> (Pergamon Press, London, 1966) Ch. 7.
- 6. E.M. Barston, J. Math. Phys. 8, 523 (1967).
- 7. E.M. Barston, "Stability of the Resistive Sheet Pinch", Phys. Fluids (To be published).

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.



DEC 6 1968

Date Due

	Dute	240	
•			
1			
			1
1			
· ·			Į.
		l	
Į.		l	Į.
l l		_	
\			1
İ			
ì			
		1	l
Į.			1
1		1	l .
		1	
l l			1
			A.
L			·
			l .
Ì		i	
		1	
		 	
Ļ			
1		1	
		1	
			1
,		1	
1		1	
		+	+
1		ì	
		1	
ł			
		1	1
		l .	1
			+
		1	!
		1	1
,		_	l
12 00 207		•	
Demco 38-297			

NYU-NYO-1480-102 c.1

Barston

Stability of dissipative systems. 1968.

NYU

NYO-1480-102

c.1

Barston

Stability of dissipative systems. 1968.

N.Y.U. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences 251 Mercer St. New York, N. Y. 10012

